

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/701,224		11/04/2003	Dennis P. Robey	1173-001	5282		
1009	7590	04/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
KING & S		•	GEHMAN, BRYON P				
247 NORTH BROADWAY LEXINGTON, KY 40507				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3728			
			•	DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					me		
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/701,2	24	ROBEY, DENNIS P.				
Office Ad	ction Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Bryon P.		3728			
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence address	ss		
A SHORTENED ST. THE MAILING DATI - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro - If the period for reply spec - If NO period for reply is sp. - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the		CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no extraction. D) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a repl tutory minimum of thirty (3 vill expire SIX (6) MONTH plication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this community DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status							
1) Responsive to	communication(s) file	d on <u>07 March 2005</u>					
2a) This action is	FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i							
closed in acco	ordance with the praction	ce under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> ,	.30 and 31 is/are pend	ing in the application	ı .				
4a) Of the abo	ve claim(s) <u>25-29</u> is/ar	e withdrawn from co	nsideration.				
5) Claim(s)	_ is/are allowed.						
_	.30 and 31 is/are rejec	ted.					
7) Claim(s)		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e					
8) Claim(s)	_ are subject to restric	tion and/or election i	requirement.				
Application Papers							
•	on is objected to by the		_				
) filed on is/are:				•		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	not request that any obje		·	, ,	4.4047.1)		
<u> </u>	· · · ·	·		is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 Office Action or form PTO-			
,—	•	by the Examiner. IN	ole the attached C	Since Action of form 1 10-	102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.(C. § 119						
a)□ All b)□ S	ent is made of a claim ome * c) None of:	- , ,	·	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	d copies of the priority			diamatan Na			
	d copies of the priority			eceived in this National Sta	200		
_ •	tion from the Internation	·		cerved iii tiiis National Sta	ige		
• •	ed detailed Office action	•		ceived.			
Attachment(s)					•		
1) Notice of References C	Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)			
· <u> </u>	s Patent Drawing Review (F	•	Paper No(s)/	Mail Datemal Patent Application (PTO-15	(2)		
3) Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or 2/19/04.	L10/28/08)	6) Other:		~ ,		

Application/Control Number: 10/701,224 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the Paper filed March 7, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 25-29 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-12 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 2, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). See also claim 21, line 2.

In claim 1, lines 8 and 9, "the ...guard" is inconsistent with line 6, "at least one guard". See also claim 9, line 1 and claim 10, lines 1, 3, 5 and 6.

In claim 6, line 2, "wheel assembly" lacks antecedent basis as a term or is inconsistent with claim 5.

In claim 18, line 1, "the post" lacks antecedent basis from parent claim 16. In line 2, "the upstanding position" lacks antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,224

Art Unit: 3728

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 9-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Logan et al. (2002/0139798). Disclosed is an apparatus comprising a base (12) and upstanding guards (14) associated with the base and capable of movement from a retracted position to an extended upstanding position.

As to claim 3, the base is a generally rectangular frame.

As to claims 9 and 10, each guard is attached to an arm (at 26) of one side of the base, with J-shaped slots or channels to secure the guard to the base and other parts.

As to claim 12, the apparatus is combined with a vehicle.

6. Claims 1, 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Williams (4,991,715). Disclosed is an apparatus (10) comprising a base (12) and an upstanding guard (4, 5) associated with the base, support assemblies (13-

Art Unit: 3728

15) and capable of movement from a retracted position to an extended upstanding position.

As to claim 3, the base is a generally rectangular frame.

As to claim 12, the apparatus is combined with a vehicle (a bicycle).

- 7. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 5-221483. Disclosed is an apparatus (10) comprising a generally rectangular frame (7), protecting means (2-5) and actuated means (13-15) supporting the frame and facilitating movement of the frame.
- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2, 4-6, 13-24 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan et al. in view of one of JP 5-221483 and Clapp (1,973,372). JP 5-221483 and Clapp each disclose retractable supports (13; 19; respectively) for supporting a base above ground during movement. To modify the apparatus of Logan et al. employing the retractable supports of either one of JP 5-221483 and Clapp would have been obvious in order to render the apparatus more easily moveable yet capable of having the wheels retracted to relatively locate the apparatus in place.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,224

Art Unit: 3728

As to claims 4 and 24, JP 5-221483 and Clapp each disclose a plurality of support assemblies.

As to claims 5 and 14, Clapp discloses each support assembly comprising a rotatable wheel secured for pivoting movement.

As to claims 6 and 15, Clapp further discloses a stop (34 and 35).

As to claims 17-20 and 22-23, Logan et al. disclose each guard (14) including an upstanding pivotable post.

As to claims 30 and 31, to retrofit an existing rack employing the teachings of Logan et al. and one of JP 5-221483 and Clapp would fall within the level of ordinary skill in the shipping pallet art.

10. Claims 2, 4-6 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of one of JP 5-221483 and Clapp. To modify the apparatus of Williams employing the retractable supports of either one of JP 5-221483 and Clapp would have been obvious in order to render the apparatus more easily moveable yet capable of having the wheels retracted to relatively locate the apparatus in place.

As to claims 4 and 24, JP 5-221483 and Clapp each disclose a plurality of support assemblies.

As to claims 5 and 14, Clapp discloses each support assembly comprising a rotatable wheel secured for pivoting movement.

As to claims 6 and 15, Clapp further discloses a stop (34 and 35).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,224

Art Unit: 3728

11. Claims 7-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

Page 6

over the art as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Grigsby et al. (6,585,126). Grigsby et al. disclose two pairs of cross members (110 and 110) defining

a space or trough there between (in 112) defining a connector to receive a vehicle

wheel or wheels. To modify the prior art further employing the cross member teaching of

Grigsby et al. would have been obvious in order to locate the wheels in a fixed location

on the apparatus, as suggested by Grigsby et al..

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Shown are shipping containers for vehicles or freight

containers in general.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bryon P. Gehman whose telephone number is (571)

272-4555. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday

from 5:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Kuya P. Sel

Art Unit: 3728

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bryon P. Gehman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

BPG